arXiv:1606.04502v1 [math.CO] 14 Jun 2016

Enumeration of cyclic permutations in 3×1 grid classes

Kassie Archer and L.-K. Lauderdale

Abstract

A grid class consists of certain permutations whose pictorial depiction can be partitioned into increasing and decreasing parts as determined by a given matrix. In this paper we complete the enumeration of cyclic permutations in the 3×1 grid classes and give an analog of Wilf-equivalence between these sets.

1 Introduction

Grid classes are well-studied classes of permutations (see [2, 14, 15] for example) that are assigned a signature in the form of a matrix M with entries in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$, which in some way determines the structure of the permutations. More specifically, permutations in a given grid class with signature M are those that can be drawn on some set of line segments laid out in a grid which is determined by M. For example, a permutation in the grid class with signature $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ can be drawn on two increasing line segments and thus has at most one descent. We are primarily concerned with the $k \times 1$ grid classes (sometimes referred to as *juxtaposition classes*) which received special attention in [6, 7, 5] among others. In particular, we enumerate the cyclic permutations in the 3×1 grid classes.

Cyclic permutations in given grid classes appeared in several other papers. For example, they were used to characterize the permutations realized by the periodic points of certain dynamical systems [5] and appeared in the character formula for a certain representation of the symmetric group [4]. In addition, the descent structure of cyclic permutations, which is closely related to the grid structure, was studied in various papers [13, 12, 8].

We subscribe to the convention used in [5], which is to use a signature σ of $+$'s and $-$'s in place of the row vector matrix M of 1's and -1's. Our goal in this paper is to complete the enumeration of cyclic permutations in the eight grid classes with signature σ where $|\sigma| = 3$. For convenience, we label each of these grid classes σ_1 through σ_8 according to Table 1.

In [13], Gessel and Reutenauer used a bijection between necklaces and permutations to determine the number of permutations with a given cycle type and descent set. This was modified in [5] to determine the number of cyclic

i	σ_i	i	σ_i	i	σ_i	i	σ_i
1	$+++$	2	$---$	3	$+-+$	4	$-+-$
5	$++-$	6	$+--$	7	$-++$	8	$--+$

Table 1: The signatures of the eight 3×1 grid classes

permutations with signature $\sigma = +^k$ or $\sigma = -^k$. In Theorem 2, we state these results for σ_1 and σ_2 (i.e. in the special case when $k = 3$).

In addition to enumerating the cyclic permutations of a given grid class, we generalize the notion of Wilf-equivalence from classical pattern avoidance to this setting. We say that two grid classes are *c-Wilf-equivalent* if the number of cyclic permutations of length n in one grid class equals the number of cyclic permutations of length n in the other. Additionally, we say that two grid classes are *weakly c-Wilf-equivalent* if their sizes are equal when $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$. The results (proven in Section 5) are summarized in Table 2.

c-Wilf-equivalence classes	weakly c-Wilf-equivalence classes
σ_1	σ_1, σ_2
σ_2	σ_3, σ_4
σ_3, σ_4	$\sigma_5, \sigma_6, \sigma_7, \sigma_8$
σ_5, σ_7	
σ_6, σ_8	

Table 2: List of c-Wilf- and weakly c-Wilf-equivalence classes

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce definitions and notation along with the necessary tools used in enumerating sets of cyclic permutations in the 3×1 grid classes. In Section 3, we enumerate cyclic permutations with signatures σ_3 and σ_4 and in Section 4, we enumerate cyclic permutations with signatures σ_i where $i \in \{5, 6, 7, 8\}$. Next, we determine the c-Wilf equivalence classes for all 3×1 grid classes in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we state some open questions and conjectures.

2 Background

The set of permutations of $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ is denoted $\mathcal{S}_n$ and we write each $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ in its one-line notation as $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2 \ldots \pi_n$.

2.1 The σ-classes

For $m < n$, we say a permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ *contains* the pattern $\tau \in \mathcal{S}_m$ if there are indices $i_1 < \cdots < i_m$ so that the subsequence $\pi_{i_1}\pi_{i_2} \ldots \pi_{i_m}$ is in the same relative order as τ, and we say π *avoids* τ if π does not contain it. The set of permutations that avoid a given pattern τ is denoted $\mathrm{Av}(\tau)$ and the set of permutations that avoid a set of patterns $B = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots\}$ is denoted

$\mathrm{Av}(B)$. Any permutation class (i.e. a set of permutations closed under pattern containment) may be characterized in terms of pattern avoidance. For any permutation class $\mathscr{C}$, we denote by $\mathscr{C}_n$ the set of permutations in $\mathscr{C}$ of length n.

Let $\sigma = \sigma^0\sigma^1 \dots \sigma^{k-1} \in \{+,-\}^k$ and define a partition of the set $\{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ by $T_\sigma^+ = \{i : \sigma^i = +\}$ and $T_\sigma^- = \{i : \sigma^i = -\}$. We define the *$\sigma$-class*, denoted $\mathcal{S}^\sigma$, as in [1, 5, 7] to be the set of permutations comprised of k contiguous segments, so that the ith such segment is increasing when $i \in T_\sigma^+$ and decreasing when $i \in T_\sigma^-$. For example $\mathcal{S}^{+-}$ is the set of unimodal permutations and $\mathcal{S}^{+++}$ is the set of permutations with at most two descents. A σ-class is an example of a row vector grid class as defined in [2, 14, 15]. Indeed, it is exactly the $k \times 1$ grid class for the matrix $M = [M_0\, M_1\, \cdots\, M_{k-1}]$ where

$$M_i = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \sigma^i = + \\ -1 & \text{if } \sigma^i = - \end{cases}$$

and can therefore be drawn on a series of line segments each with slope ± 1.

Any $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n^\sigma := \mathcal{S}^\sigma \cap \mathcal{S}_n$ must admit a *σ-segmentation* defined in [5] (and referred to as a *gridding* in [2, 9, 10]) to be a sequence $0 = e_0 \le e_1 \le \cdots \le e_k = n$ where each segment $\pi_{e_i+1}\pi_{e_i+2}\cdots\pi_{e_{i+1}}$ is increasing if $i \in T_\sigma^+$ and is decreasing if $i \in T_\sigma^-$. For example, the permutation $\tau = 268147953$ has two σ-segmentations, namely $0 \le 3 \le 6 \le 9$ and $0 \le 3 \le 7 \le 9$, while the permutation $\tau' = 862347951$ has four σ-segmentations: $0 \le i \le j \le 9$, where $i \in \{2, 3\}$ and $j \in \{6, 7\}$. The pictorial representations of the permutations τ and τ' are seen in Figure 1 below.



Figure 1: Pictorial representations of the permutations $\tau = 268147953$ (left) and $\tau' = 862347951$ (right) in $\mathcal{S}_9^{++-}$ and $\mathcal{S}_9^{-+-}$ respectively.

Every σ-class is a permutation class and can therefore be characterized in terms of pattern avoidance. For example, $\mathcal{S}^{+-} = \mathrm{Av}(213, 312)$. In [6], Atkinson gives a constructive proof that all permutations of a σ-class can be characterized as avoiding a finite set of patterns.

2.2 Cyclic permutations

Let $\mathcal{C}_n$ denote the set of cyclic permutations of $\mathcal{S}_n$ (i.e. the permutations in $\mathcal{S}_n$ which are composed of a single cycle with length n). The map defined in [11] by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \theta : \mathcal{S}_n & \to & \mathcal{C}_n \\ \pi & \mapsto & \hat{\pi} \end{array}$$

sends the permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\dots\pi_n$ written in one-line notation to the cyclic permutation $\hat{\pi} = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_n)$. For example, if $\pi = 165892437 \in \mathcal{S}_9$, then

$$\hat{\pi} = (1, 6, 5, 8, 9, 2, 4, 3, 7) = 647385192.$$

Additionally, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^\sigma$ the set of cyclic permutations in the σ-class (i.e. $\mathcal{C}_n^\sigma := \mathcal{C}_n \cap \mathcal{S}^\sigma$). For $i \in [8]$, we set $c_i(n) := |\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_i}|$. The proposition below (proven in [5]) implies that $c_5(n) = c_7(n)$ and that $c_6(n) = c_8(n)$. As a result, σ_5 and σ_7 are c-Wilf-equivalent, as are σ_6 and σ_8.

Proposition 1. [5, Proposition 2.7] *For $\sigma = \sigma^0\sigma^1\dots\sigma^{k-1} \in \{+,-\}^k$, let σ_r denote the signature $\sigma^{k-1}\sigma^{k-2}\dots\sigma^0$. Then $|\mathcal{C}_n^\sigma| = |\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_r}|$.*

The complementary signature σ_c, defined as $\sigma_c^i = +$ if $\sigma^i = -$ and $\sigma_c^i = -$ if $\sigma^i = +$, is not necessarily c-Wilf-equivalent to σ. For example, if $\sigma = +--$, then $\sigma_c = -++$ and these are not c-Wilf-equivalent. We leave it as a conjecture in Section 6 that σ and σ_c are always weakly c-Wilf-equivalent.

2.3 Words and necklaces

A *word* of length n on k letters is a sequence $s = s_1s_2\dots s_n$ with $s_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $i \in [n]$. We denote the set of such words by $\mathcal{W}_k(n)$. A word s is *r-periodic* if it can be written as the concatenation of r copies of a word q of length $\frac{n}{r}$ (i.e. if $s = q^r$), and a word is *primitive* if it not r-periodic for all $r > 1$. For example, the word 0020112 is primitive and the word 00120012 is 2-periodic. Finally, we define the *evaluation* of a word to be the sequence $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1})$ where a_i is $|\{j \in [n] : s_j = i\}|$. For example, the evaluation of the word 0012001022 is $(5, 2, 3)$.

We refer to the set of equivalence classes $[s]$ of the cyclic rotations of the word s as *necklaces* and denote it by $\overline{\mathcal{W}}_k(n)$. A necklace $[s]$ is called *r-periodic* (respectively, *primitive*) if a representative s of the equivalence class is r-periodic (respectively, primitive). Let $N_k(n)$ denote the set of primitive necklaces on k letters of length n and let $L_k(n)$ denote the number of such necklaces.

For a given $\sigma \in \{+,-\}^k$ and word $s \in \mathcal{W}_k(n)$, we define $o_\sigma(s)$ to be $|\{i \in [n] : s_i \in T_\sigma^-\}|$. For example, if $\sigma = +-$, then $o_\sigma(01001) = 2$ and $o_\sigma(01111) = 4$. If $\sigma = +---$, then $o_\sigma(1203123) = 6$ and $o_\sigma(0000103) = 2$. Let $L_k(n;\sigma)$ denote the size of the set of primitive necklaces $[s]$ so that s is a primitive word of size n for which $o_\sigma(s)$ is odd.

We define $N_k(n;\sigma) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{W}}_k(n)$ to be the set of primitive necklaces together with all 2-periodic necklaces $[s] = [q^2]$ so that q is a primitive word of length $\frac{n}{2}$ for

which $o_\sigma(q)$ is odd. Notice that when n is odd these necklaces are exactly those from $N_k(n)$ and when n is even, the size of this set is exactly $L_k(n) + L_k(\frac{n}{2};\sigma)$. For ease of notation, we set $L_k^*(n;\sigma) := L_k(n)+L_k(\frac{n}{2};\sigma)$ and assume $L_k\left(\frac{n}{2};\sigma\right) = 0$ when n is odd.

The following proposition is well-known and is easily shown using Möbius inversion. We let μ denote the number-theoretic Möbius function.

Proposition 2. *If $n \geq 1$, the number of necklaces of length n on k letters is equal to*

$$L_k(n) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) k^{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Using Möbius inversion, it is a straightforward exercise to compute $L_k(n;\sigma)$ and $L_k^*(n;\sigma)$ for a given σ of length 2 or 3 (as in the proof of Theorem 7). Therefore, in this paper we present the enumeration of $C_n^{\sigma_i}$ for each $i \in [8]$ in terms of these numbers.

Lemma 1. *If $n \geq 1$, then $L_3^*(n;\sigma) = L_3^*(n;\sigma_r)$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $L_3(\frac{n}{2};\sigma) = L_3(\frac{n}{2};\sigma_r)$. When n is odd, the equality is clear and thus we assume n is even. We define the following bijection from necklaces $[s]$ of length $\frac{n}{2}$ with $o_\sigma(s)$ odd to necklaces $[s']$ of size $\frac{n}{2}$ with $o_{\sigma_r}(s')$ odd. Let $[s]$ be a primitive necklace of length $\frac{n}{2}$ for which $o_\sigma(s)$ is odd and take $[s']$ to be the necklace obtained by setting $s'_i = k-1-s_i$. Notice that $T^-_{\sigma_r} = \{k-1-i : i \in T^-_\sigma\}$ and thus $o_{\sigma_r}(s') = |\{i \in [\frac{n}{2}] : s'_i \in T^-_{\sigma_r}\}|$ is equal to $o_\sigma(s)$. Therefore $o_{\sigma_r}(s')$ is odd and so $L_3(\frac{n}{2};\sigma) = L_3(\frac{n}{2};\sigma_r)$. □

The primary tool used in this paper is the theorem below, proven in [3], which states that the σ-segmentations of permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n^\sigma$ and $N_k(n;\sigma)$ are equinumerous.

Theorem 1. [3, Theorem 2.2.19] *For $k \geq 2$, $n \geq 3$ and $\sigma \in \{+,-\}^k$,*

$$L_k^*(n;\sigma) = \sum_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_n^\sigma} |\{\sigma\text{-segmentations of } \hat{\pi}\}|.$$

Furthermore, the number of necklaces in the set $N_k(n;\sigma)$ which have evaluation $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1})$ is equal to the number of permutations $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n^\sigma$ which admit a σ-segmentation $e_0 \leq \cdots \leq e_k$ where $e_0 = 0$ and $e_i = \sum_{j<i} a_j$ for $i \in [k]$.

2.4 Enumerating $\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_2}$

As stated in the introduction, the theorem below is a special case of theorems which appear in [13] and [5], proven using techniques similar to the ones used in this paper.

Theorem 2. *If $n \geq 2$, then*

$$c_1(n) = L_3(n) - (n+1)L_2(n)$$

and

$$c_2(n) = L_3^*(n;\sigma_2) - (n+1)L_2^*(n;\sigma_2')$$

where $\sigma_2' = --$.

Notice Theorem 2 implies that σ_1 and σ_2 are weakly c-Wilf-equivalent since when $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$, $L_2^*(n;\sigma_2') = L_2(n)$ and $L_3^*(n;\sigma_2) = L_3(n)$.

3 Enumerating $\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_3}$ and $\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_4}$

In this section, we enumerate the set of cyclic permutations in the σ_i-class for $i \in \{3,4\}$. We define a *peak* of the permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ to be $i \in [n]$ so that $\pi_{i-1} < \pi_i$ and $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ (where $\pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} := 0$). Similarly, we define a *valley* of the permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ to be $i \in [n]$ so that $\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i$ and $\pi_i < \pi_{i+1}$ (where $\pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} := n+1$).

Notice that for any permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_3}$ there is a unique choice of $i, j \in [n]$ with $i < j$ so that i is a peak and j is a valley. For example, consider the permutation $\pi = 356894127 \in \mathcal{C}_9^{\sigma_3}$. There are two peaks (namely 5 and 9) and two valleys (namely 1 and 7), but there is a unique pair $i = 5$ and $j = 7$ satisfying the condition that $i < j$ where i is a peak and j is a valley.

Theorem 3. *For $n \geq 3$, $c_3(n) = \dfrac{L_3^*(n;\sigma_3)}{4}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have

$$L_3^*(n;\sigma_3) = \sum_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_3}} |\{\sigma_3\text{-segmentations of } \hat{\pi}\}|.$$

If $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_3}$, then there exists some unique $i, j \in [n]$ with $i < j$ such that i is a peak and j is a valley. Suppose $0 = e_0 \leq e_1 \leq e_2 \leq e_3 = n$ is a σ_3-segmentation of π. Certainly, $e_1 \leq i$. If $e_1 < i-1$, then $e_2 \in \{e_1, e_1+1\}$ and thus $e_2 < i$. Since i is a peak, it is followed by a descent and thus the σ_3-segmentation constructed is not valid. Therefore, we must have that $e_1 \in \{i-1, i\}$. For similar reasons, $e_2 \in \{j-1, j\}$ and so the following list of four σ_3-segmentations of π is complete.

(a) $0 \leq i-1 \leq j-1 \leq n$,

(b) $0 \leq i-1 \leq j \leq n$,

(c) $0 \leq i \leq j-1 \leq n$,

(d) $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

Therefore $L_3^*(n;\sigma_3) = 4c_3(n)$ and the result follows. □

Notice that for a permutation $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_4}$, there is a unique $i, j \in [n]$ with $i < j$ so that i is a valley and j is a peak. Thus, we omit the proof of the following theorem due to its similarities to the proof of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. *For $n \geq 3$, $c_4(n) = \dfrac{L_3^*(n;\sigma_4)}{4}$.*

4 Enumerating $\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_i}$ for $i \in \{5,6,7,8\}$

In this section, we enumerate the set $\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_i}$ for all remaining cases. We let $\bar{\sigma} = +-$ and denote by $\Lambda(n)$ the number of cyclic permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n^{\bar{\sigma}}$ (i.e. cyclic unimodal permutations) which was previously established in [5] as

$$\Lambda(n) = L_2(n;\bar{\sigma}) = \frac{L_2^*(n;\bar{\sigma})}{2}.$$

Let $\Lambda(n,i)$ denote the number of cyclic permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n^{\bar{\sigma}}$ with a peak in position i (i.e. the number of permutations $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with $\pi_i = n$). Also we let $L_2(n,i)$ denote the number binary Lyndon words of length n with i ones, whose formula is well-known. Using Möbius inversion, one can find that

$$L_2(n,i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid \gcd(n,i)} \mu(d) \binom{n/d}{i/d}.$$

By interchanging the zeros and ones in each word, we arrive at the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *If $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq n$, then $L_2(n,i) = L_2(n,n-i)$.*

In order to enumerate the cyclic permutations in the σ_5- and σ_6-classes, we require the following three lemmas.

Lemma 3. *If $n \geq 1$ and $i \in [n-1]$, then*

$$\Lambda(n,i) + \Lambda(n,i+1) = L_2(n,i)$$

except in the case when n is even and $n + i \equiv 2 \bmod 4$; in this case,

$$\Lambda(n,i) + \Lambda(n,i+1) = L_2(n,i) + L_2\Big(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\Big).$$

Proof. Consider the second statement in Theorem 1 for $\bar{\sigma} = +-$. It implies that the number of necklaces in $N_2(n;\bar{\sigma})$ with i zeros and $n-i$ ones is equal to the number of cyclic permutations in $\mathcal{C}_n^{\bar{\sigma}}$ that admit the $\bar{\sigma}$-segmentation $0 \leq i \leq n$. These are exactly the permutations with a peak at i or $i+1$. In the case when either n is odd or n is even and $n + i \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$, the number of necklaces in $N_2(n;\bar{\sigma})$ with i zeros and $n-i$ ones is exactly $L_2(n,n-i)$. When n is even and $n + i \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, the number of such necklaces is $L_2(n,n-i) + L_2(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-i}{2})$. Since $L_2(n,n-i) = L_2(n,i)$ and $L_2(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-i}{2}) = L_2(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{i}{2})$ by Lemma 2, the result follows. □

Lemma 4. *If $n \geq 1$ and $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$, then for $i \in [n]$,*

$$\Lambda(n,i) = \Lambda(n, n-i+1).$$

Proof. If $i = 1$, then $\Lambda(n,1) = 0 = \Lambda(n,n)$. We proceed by induction on i. Assume $\Lambda(n,i-1) = \Lambda(n,n-i+2)$ for some $i \in \{2,3,\ldots,n-1\}$. First suppose n is odd. Since $L_2(n,i-1) = L_2(n,n-i+1)$, applying Lemma 3 twice and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\Lambda(n,i-1)+\Lambda(n,i) = L_2(n,i-1) = L_2(n,n-i+1) = \Lambda(n,n-i+1)+\Lambda(n,n-i+2).$$

The result now follows from our inductive hypothesis.

Now suppose $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$. If $i-1 \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$, then the above argument can be made by again using Lemma 3 twice and Lemma 2 once. If $i-1 \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, notice that $n-i+1 \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ as well. Therefore, using the same lemmas,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(n,i-1)+\Lambda(n,i) &= L_2(n,i-1) + L_2\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{i-1}{2}\right) \\ &= L_2(n,n-i+1) + L\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n-i+1}{2}\right) \\ &= \Lambda(n,n-i+1) + \Lambda(n,n-i+2).\end{aligned}$$

The result follows from our inductive hypothesis. □

Lemma 5. *If $n \geq 1$ and n is odd, then*

$$\Lambda(n,i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{i+j+1} L_2(n,j)$$

and if n is even, then

$$\Lambda(n,i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{i+j+1} L_2(n,j) + (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{\substack{k<i \\ 4|(n+k+2)}} L_2\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{k}{2}\right).$$

Proof. Observe that $\Lambda(n,i)$ is only defined for $i \in [n]$. Since $\Lambda(n,1) = 0$ the result is clear for $i = 1$. Thus we assume $i > 1$ and induct on i with $i \in \{2,3,\ldots,n-1\}$. If $i = 2$, then from Lemma 3 we obtain the equality

$$\Lambda(n,1) + \Lambda(n,2) = L_2(n,1)$$

and the result holds. Thus we assume the result holds true for all permissible integers less than i. First suppose n is an odd integer. It follows that

$$\Lambda(n,i-1) + \Lambda(n,i) = L_2(n,i-1)$$

by Lemma 3; therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(n,i) &= L_2(n,i-1) - \Lambda(n,i-1) \\
&= L_2(n,i-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-2}(-1)^{i+j}L_2(n,j) \\
&= L_2(n,i-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-2}(-1)^{i+j+1}L_2(n,j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{i+j+1}L_2(n,j)
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds by inductive hypothesis.

Now assume that n is even and i satisfies $n+i-1 \equiv 2 \bmod 4$. Then

$$\Lambda(n,i-1) + \Lambda(n,i) = L_2(n,i-1) + L_2\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\right)$$

by Lemma 3. Following a similar argument as above,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda(n,i) &= L_2(n,i-1) - \Lambda(n,i-1) + L_2\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{i-1}{2}\right) \\
&= L_2(n,i-1) - \sum_{j=1}^{i-2}(-1)^{i+j}L_2(n,j) - (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{k<i-1 \\ 4|(n+k+2)}} L_2\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{k}{2}\right) \\
&\quad + L_2\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{i-1}{2}\right) \\
&= L_2(n,i-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{i-2}(-1)^{i+j+1}L_2(n,j) + (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{\substack{k<i \\ 4|(n+k+2)}} L_2\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{k}{2}\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^{i+j+1}L_2(n,j) + (-1)^{i+1} \sum_{\substack{k<i \\ 4|(n+k+2)}} L_2\left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{k}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

A similar argument holds when n is even and $n+i-1 \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$. In this case, the rightmost sum does not acquire an extra term when rewriting it as a sum over $k < i$. □

With these lemmas in hand, we are now ready to prove the main theorems of this section.

Theorem 5. *Let $\Lambda(n,i)$ be the value given in Lemma 5. If $n \geq 2$, then*

$$c_5(n) = \frac{L_3^*(n;\sigma_5)}{2} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} i \cdot \Lambda(n,i).$$

Proof. If a permutation is an element of $\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_5} \backslash \mathcal{C}_n^{+-}$, then it has two σ_5-segmentations. As a result, the equality

$$c_5(n) = |\mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_5} \setminus \mathcal{C}_n^{+-}| + \Lambda(n)$$

and Theorem 1 imply

$$\begin{aligned} L_3^*(n;\sigma_5) &= \sum_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_5}} |\{\sigma_5\text{-segmentations of } \hat{\pi}\}| \\ &= 2\big(c_5(n) - \Lambda(n)\big) + \sum_{\hat{\pi} \in C_n^{+-}} |\{\sigma_5\text{-segmentations of } \hat{\pi}\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Notice there are $2(i+1)$ σ_5-segmentations of each $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n^{+-}$ with a peak at i. Specifically, each of these σ_5-segmentations will have one of the following forms:

(a) $0 \le j \le i-1 \le n$ for each $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, i-1\}$,

(b) $0 \le j \le i \le n$ for each $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, i\}$,

(c) $0 \le i \le i+1 \le n$.

A similar argument to the one in the proof of Theorem 3 shows that these are the only σ_5-segmentations of π.

Let $C_{n,i}^{+-}$ denote the set of permutations $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n^{+-}$ with a peak in position i. Then

$$\sum_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_n^{+-}} |\{\sigma_5\text{-segmentations of } \hat{\pi}\}| = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_{n,i}^{+-}} 2(i+1) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} 2(i+1)\Lambda(n,i)$$

and

$$L_3^*(n;\sigma_5) = 2c_5(n) - 2\Lambda(n) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} 2(i+1)\Lambda(n,i).$$

Since $\Lambda(n) = \sum \Lambda(n,i)$, then the theorem follows from the equality above. □

Theorem 6. *Let $\Lambda(n,i)$ be the value given in Lemma 5. If $n \ge 2$, then*

$$c_6(n) = \frac{L_3^*(n;\sigma_6)}{2} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (n-i+1)\Lambda(n,i).$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 5, there are exactly two σ_6-segmentations of π whenever $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\sigma_6} \setminus \mathcal{C}_n^{+-}$. Furthermore, for $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n^{+-}$ with a peak at i, a similar argument to the one in the proof above shows that the σ_6-segmentations of each of these permutations will have one of the following forms:

(a) $0 \le i-1 \le j \le n$ for each $j \in \{i-1, i, \ldots, n\}$,

(b) $0 \le i \le j \le n$ for each $j \in \{i, i+1, \ldots, n\}$,

(c) $0 \leq i-2 \leq i-1 \leq n$.

Thus

$$\sum_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_n^{+-}} |\{\sigma_6\text{-segmentations of } \hat{\pi}\}| = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\hat{\pi} \in \mathcal{C}_{n,i}^{+-}} 2(n+i+2) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} 2(n+i+2)\Lambda(n,i)$$

and the result now follows. □

The following corollaries are immediate results of Proposition 1 and Lemma 1.

Corollary 1. *The signatures σ_5 and σ_7 are c-Wilf-equivalent, and thus if $n \geq 2$,*

$$c_7(n) = \frac{L_3^*(n;\sigma_7)}{2} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} i \cdot \Lambda(n,i).$$

Corollary 2. *The signatures σ_6 and σ_8 are c-Wilf-equivalent, and thus if $n \geq 2$,*

$$c_8(n) = \frac{L_3^*(n;\sigma_8)}{2} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (n-i+1)\Lambda(n,i).$$

5 c-Wilf-equivalence

In this section we discuss the equivalence classes of the cyclic permutations in the 3×1 grid classes. Recall two classes are c-Wilf-equivalent if the sets of cyclic permutations in each class are equinumerous and we say two classes are weakly c-Wilf-equivalent if they are equinumerous when $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$.

Theorem 7. *For $n \geq 3$, $c_3(n) = c_4(n)$ and thus σ_3 is c-Wilf-equivalent to σ_4.*

Proof. By Theorems 3 and 4, it is enough to show $L_3(\frac{n}{2};\sigma_3) = L_3(\frac{n}{2};\sigma_4)$. Since these are both zero when n is odd, we need only consider the cases when n is even. It suffices to show that the number of necklaces $[s]$ of length $\frac{n}{2}$ where $o_{\sigma_3}(s)$ is odd is equal to the number of necklaces $[s']$ of length $\frac{n}{2}$ where $o_{\sigma_4}(s)$ is odd. Note that for a necklace $[s]$ with $s_i \in \{0,1,2\}$ of length $\frac{n}{2}$, we have $o_{\sigma_3}(s) = |\{i \in [n] : s_i = 1\}|$ and $o_{\sigma_4}(s) = |\{i \in [n] : s_i \neq 1\}|$ by definition. We proceed by cases.

Case 1. When $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, $\frac{n}{2}$ is even, and thus for any necklace $[s]$ of length $\frac{n}{2}$, $o_{\sigma_3}(s)$ is odd if and only if $o_{\sigma_4}(s)$ is odd. Therefore, $L_3(\frac{n}{2};\sigma_3) = L_3(\frac{n}{2};\sigma_4)$.

Case 2. When $n \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, $\frac{n}{2}$ is odd and we will show using Möbius inversion that exactly half of necklaces of length $\frac{n}{2}$ have $o_{\sigma_3}(s)$ odd. This in turn implies that there are equally many necklaces with $o_{\sigma_3}(s)$ odd as there are with $o_{\sigma_3}(s)$ even (or equivalently, $o_{\sigma_4}(s)$ odd).

Take $m := \frac{n}{2}$ for simplicity of notation. Let $a(m)$ be the number of ternary primitive words with a $o_{\sigma_3}(s)$ odd and let $b(m)$ be the number of ternary words

(which are not necessarily primitive) with $o_{\sigma_3}(s)$ odd. It is easily checked that $b(m) = \frac{3^n-1}{2}$. Also, for any m,

$$b(m) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d \text{ odd}}} a(m/d).$$

Since m is odd, all its divisors are also odd, so we can write

$$b(m) = \sum_{d|m} a(m/d) = \sum_{d|m} a(d).$$

Using Möbius inversion, we rewrite this sum as

$$a(m) = \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) b(m/d).$$

This sum becomes

$$a(m) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d)(3^{n/d} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) 3^{n/d}$$

where the equality follows from the well-known fact that $\sum \mu(d) = 0$ when the sum is taken over all divisors $d \mid m$ for any integer $m \geq 2$. It follows that

$$L_3(m; \sigma_3) = \frac{1}{m} a(m) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{d|m} \mu(d) 3^{n/d}$$

which is exactly half of $L_3(m)$. The result follows. □

Theorem 8. *If $n \geq 1$ and $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$, then $c_5(n) = c_6(n)$ and thus σ_5 and σ_6 are weakly c-Wilf-equivalent.*

Proof. It is enough to show that when $n \not\equiv 2 \bmod 4$,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} i \cdot \Lambda(n, i) = \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (n - i + 1) \Lambda(n, i) \quad \text{and} \quad L_3^*(n; \sigma_5) = L_3^*(n; \sigma_6).$$

Setting $i := n - j + 1$ and using Lemma 4, we obtain the equalities

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} i \cdot \Lambda(n, i) = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (n - j + 1) \Lambda(n, n - j - 1) = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (n - j + 1) \Lambda(n, j).$$

If n is odd, it is clear that $L_3^*(n; \sigma_5) = L_3^*(n; \sigma_6)$. When $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, it is enough to show that $L_3(\frac{n}{2}; \sigma_5) = L_3(\frac{n}{2}; \sigma_6)$. Observe $L_3(\frac{n}{2}; \sigma_5)$ is the size of the set of primitive necklaces of length $\frac{n}{2}$ which have an odd number of 2's. Since $\frac{n}{2}$ is even, the number of 0's and 1's must be odd as well. Therefore, $L_3(\frac{n}{2}; \sigma_5) = L_3(\frac{n}{2}; \sigma_8)$ and thus the equality $L_3(\frac{n}{2}; \sigma_5) = L_3(\frac{n}{2}; \sigma_6)$ follows from Lemma 1. □

6 Open questions and conjectures

For $\sigma \in \{+,-\}^k$, define the complementary signature as $\sigma_c = \sigma_c^0 \sigma_c^1 \dots \sigma_c^{k-1}$ with $\sigma_c^i = +$ if $\sigma^i = -$ and $\sigma_c^i = -$ if $\sigma^i = +$.

Conjecture 1. For all $k \geq 2$ and $\sigma \in \{+,-\}^k$, σ is weakly c-Wilf equivalent to σ_c.

Define an alternating signature of size k to be σ so that either $\sigma^i = +$ for all even i and $\sigma^i = -$ for all odd i or $\sigma^i = +$ for all odd i and $\sigma^i = -$ for all even i. If k is even, then the below statement is automatically true by Proposition 1, so it remains to show this is true when k is odd.

Conjecture 2. For all $k \geq 2$, the alternating signatures of size k are all c-Wilf-equivalent.

More generally, one could ask if there are certain families of grid classes for which the cyclic permutations can be enumerated using Theorem 1. Furthermore, one could generalize this theorem to a bijection between σ-segmentations of permutations with other cycle types and multisets of necklaces in order to enumerate permutations in grid classes by their cycle type. Some progress towards this for $\sigma = +-$ and $\sigma = +^k$ can be found in [16] and [13].

References

[1] M. Albert, M. Atkinson, and N. Ruskuc. Regular closed sets of permutations. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 306:85–100, 2003.

[2] M. Albert, M. Atkinson, V. Vatter, N. Ruškuc, and M. Bouvel. Geometric grid classes of permutations. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 365:5859–5881, 2012.

[3] K. Archer. *Permutations realized by signed shifts.* PhD thesis, Dartmouth College, 2014.

[4] K. Archer. Descents of λ-unimodal cycles in a character formula. *Discrete Math.*, 339:2399–2409, 2016.

[5] K. Archer and S. Elizalde. Cyclic permutations realized by signed shifts. *J. Comb.*, 5:1–30, 2014.

[6] M. Atkinson. Restricted permutations. *Discrete Math.*, 195:27–38, 1999.

[7] M. Atkinson, M. Murphy, and N. Ruskuc. Partially well-ordered closed sets of permutations. *Order*, 19:101–113, 2002.

[8] J.-L. Baril. Statistics-preserving bijections between classical and cyclic permutations. *Inform. Process. Lett.*, 113(1–2):17–22, 2013.

[9] D. Bevan. Growth rates of geometric grid classes of permutations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 21(4.15):17, 2014.

[10] D. Bevan. Growth rates of permutation grid classes, tours on graphs, and the spectral radius. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 367(8):5863–5889, 2015.

[11] S. Elizalde. The number of permutations realized by a shift. *SIAM J. Discrete Math*, 23:765–786, 2009.

[12] S. Elizalde. Descent sets of cyclic permutations. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 47(4):688–709, 2011.

[13] I. Gessel and C. Reutenauer. Counting permutations with given cycle structure. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 64:189–215, 1993.

[14] S. Huczynska and V. Vatter. Grid classes and the fibonacci dichotomy for restricted permutations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 13(1):14, 2006.

[15] M. Murphy and V. Vatter. Profile classes and partial well-order for permutations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 9(2):30, 2002.

[16] J.-Y. Thibon. The cycle enumerator of unimodal permutations. *Ann. Comb.*, 5:493–500, 2001.